Seattle University

ScholarWorks @ SeattleU

Notes, Uncredited [E.M.S.]

Series II: Literary Productions, ca. 1919-1979; n.d.

Box 18, Folder 17 - Notes/ Outlines/ MS Fragments: "Montessori and Dewey" (E.M.S.)

Edwin Mortimer Standing

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.seattleu.edu/standing-notes-uncredited

To Typish

When you come to the end of the longish quote from

Dewev (in four sections) - please stop. The last words in that

qupte are @ "Fourth Inidivual Attention".

At this point please stop the disc and go on as follows:

In all this we'see that Dewev had before him a quite definite plan of research. The area of his enquivies was, within certain limits, clear and definite, and he knew before hand what he was looking for was seeking definite answers to definte questions - a sate of things quite normal in educational research.

But with Montessori the case was different. The wonderful success which she had recently had with her backward children who had passed their examinations with almost the same degree of skill as nomal children - this experience had made her fell that if her idots could do as well as that there must be something very wrong with the ordinary methods of trachingif herif the normal children could be equalled by those with so much less mental endowmenr. And for some time she had entertained a vage idea that if the methods which she had used with backward children were applied with normal children there might be good relsts. For this reason she willin ly seized the opportunity, wwhich amost came by chance, of doing this,

But she was not looking for nor even expecting

On Fost Loubout Home

Remotes)

Lord educate to the effect the topic describer from porte with Andrew Sentender with anglines medical hydrett POT FOR C. C. PARTINGS E Lapriste Colons to are a : evertellene en til het hether bete esset inte attil of easystee this error a made oncie than the permit again to the cation it was no Transpiritive , ager call tunor eight to same and , normedan for the The season is not succeed the large of the transfer that the contract to the contract of the large of the lar emote as a boutlebook en avera editation willems care a figer and and ask . De screet toutlesoute the proposettly smatch to open a Fig 16th Lockeber two Clasuage Clarence . The weeking Cla 1/2/2 in the seek that you record different additional the seeks and let their field part ober that completed in the arm. The can be the group of the most of the contract of the state of the Benite vicini it but meen bisking, attempt begin in the tre it , show but bute. Standard water though and low . The and for an ede The Who ware to have to relate the seek and the

Outline 1 Togo further with to defences Drung neuer saw to "N. Cewsen" Nordra g to real nature of Mari. War Ilu descor of to hedden nature of beend _ ent hand a built all 4 Normalization the Work The week sood of because

In fact he do not so haref Sent Tulfaturk -If he had seen the believed ch. he nd news hour sout to lego he de Let as. We can zarry somm thus by
compring two sustators.

De Do Do Calender could.

Day nun acheally St. 14. level al bust hand: besund - Kelp.

Write Som Josephina Outline I What who on sunt. ' dar al one Mint. Schrol. M. Examed - teal not that take to come to thes. M. D had brokener I thank - colas as to to live nature of to chied. tirected in the of to leave mous Ver nalue of 16 butelles Home (?) Mund thou I notes. Me mudale Cause of this because I num sow to real chief became he news gave to clus real fuedom to choose its occup we are Sunt Sciented to of Stell strail. - a Revarg Ement ... Cent To Reason My W new Som Tolesto ho real chied was Howhere of to Revery Enot. The Small gto Markey. Ites true of m. Whe credit - West Dever realised & influence of action -Pularge I escue - but he now reals fruo to cond. · vury my ets.

Outher 3 The vasor los this is because D. cano not covered of to by movers - sufferente ed garg on network to strinile of bogrowh + various reinforments to bleang mouss." In his project meshad to ch. nere aleys acre as a group - leng driens 4 to brader sing The or that propert. Heat the we to suggestion 25 T. (Stand The last full of course out that Bet to fact remus to centre new or * growth - + the group adus nas to culle May to all . -No Granh Vesus & Undewood Velel growth Very maluel was under johnson" "Mental eschausers:"is escenteally can moved thing. If to the Essential Volue y Unauledge red Culture Dever Sow to Old Methods nun I lander to lug ment. lung murst.

Jakne H AT Wat Time - _ ald methods -Deer and M both realised has bowd Symlands Both - to need for interest Expu the achy Deur aut to Tables. (Slones on A York) S. Penado - neut to non so hat. Se el. Porchologies to Bulus to be on MS. leaned. (malrabyre aboratons) 637 45-47 Prep Ens. Sumlantis Da Na Middle Cause. (2) MS Sundanes Fallowing Falling nation Symbol a real this. But The Tool Saly is Born.

Ilo Contreens Jantine Cuah W Desor neun saw New Throm de No Proof Qualduns. Deffer as to Very Nalue of Ch & leave Thress. — neve man a leave mous It Chart Presmaly. Immitale Cause of this -Reveal puton Similarites Simele y # Monter. mak clear y noling culan. Similantes Saw What nas wrong. The OG Systim No ridal marest .-- (Hitas Esture Procogne. The Middle Cause -

00(2) The office Bors w Itow to put il right _ Dewy to Stand . Monlesson. _ The True halm y to Those trooks. The Musele of Moderns Working & Human . Res. But Though actives Hour & Brain
Therson et el The Hook

Dewys aus Weg The Group. De not beleme. In Sh. ack on's
Stimulus
No Shows The Scamp Fle Tool Say is boim

They both agreed on the value of Exprisence and the necessity of Activity ----

but

the important tring is what kind of experience _ and what kind of activity .

Both believed in " Continuity "

but again how different .

The principle of the HOOK

Both believed in the necessity of Freedom

o. Taked of it a lot - but never understood it in the sense that Montessori did - or the Mpnt child expresences daily

This seen in the Followers - "the Sctivity School"
Aslo with Dewey it is always a collective freedon
freedom to wlk along together the same path - the
PROJECY under the collective guidance of the Adult.

How kixedifferent THE PREPARED ENVIRONEMENT AND THE ABSORBENT MIND --- and THE PREPARED PATHS TO CULTURE.

The Crux of the whole matter lies in The New NE W RELATIONSHIP - the removal of the adult interference

Must be the PREPARED ENVIRONMENT FOR THIS ABSORBENT MIND

To realise it you have to see this child acting

freely in the Prepared environ ment.

Similardeli A S.P. Shiping While IT from so Hat. Serc. page 29 Excellent a good Tuate But agan (lower same page) "heleverto m reality are but attiludes to possible andrews" 328 = 24 8×3=24 of Expersions Sunday to New Sunce & Malwals of Sel 3) 10 mi The beginnate War out is to hand from 16 malual - to propelle lavore et - lent is to lake it & Develope it when lovanel 6c. C o some yt and life (raller accorded to and presses) 645-46 but is zasur · · · li leau it es it is. 4-1 "by a truck. method to make I menty Harreports Enument _ 46 Sc+Ch

46-47

Similarles Could 2 from to Sugestion not onemaled a sugestion Mala-Ege 10 59 The Start must come for A Ch. 60x There s grand. Thurs a Middle Course Nat Declaher or Abandonmil De Nothing is more abound But how deferent to Middle Cause @ The Preford Parkes to Culler Play Playhouse. 1061 not Word Indulal T Soudy 4 Seef Chosen Swaw Follows Waluse Dever (2) 1060 The Key mich M. is Careelester + Free Chaus

Set

Similarles Could 3 Court Dewlow and grathing park 32 Sc + C + Mant Hunt Pans School Oneto. Ent onleat To Pat in It QQQ p33 Sorch. Deuloment - " to possible career The Currellum TExperind @ Prepart Posts - of The Map" \$ 35 Soud \$37 Sort. Prepare Maluals and 38 & "porpulagno Currence & Chied _ not set om agot Eachable The Symbol much Symbolise The earn - Henr to Definder 29 (a+b) = Example But agan. Lach & malwater. p 40 The Tool Subject is Barn

Emlanlies (3) Need for extreme The Stor ato Desks.

Dever & Marlion helmal ET". Ed for Iself. Corya Poh Treason. p.98. " Dewys rocas have led to to church of may acadrue sur, in bogmin that lbs nd not le unepoil in life The Sterner Murd Wants To Renow Mæde for Knavlidge Trush No Need y a Toal Subject -Were hun to me an apprelete - Wal had no new ya remote chan Gleught Suppled -Arin Develofones

Deeur o Mailmes Emit - D. Condeling - The Greek. M. Rewalneg! "& Intel. & Social. Am Slate. (D) Ving den y God Monlisser Dech Developmed forst ele

Norden J. Pryme humshin S.P. Micamolin Thes Inhert. _ a volat thing. Battand to grow. Some This leaves. "Thegrang and you mind. Destints V. Reason. Trabbulat Taz.

v humur (her small books) 3 The Park Trust - Reality. huder 2 Word Sumphud. Set in order Order in Employ. Home Socis. Eum in Seumal Malis ideals o Correct Det aout. Relaworch In W Bahr Propor hudus Work (nat in a grants lins to grow

No Cel new Mind_ Keligus an idres related to Will med not to got I treater The Ster - Williams Wholes & Pauls Same ob Skumm Selly Sea A flin Nunder - 577 8= - w The Stimulus of a Praklum furnay prakum Meany of Repellar

Ilu Si. proud Deeps The Ch. behave led us to be and awai of Chapot A New Sure of = and This dueram Wing New Saw to never shited it lund . A Sawa Deffect Child -Cotter labour un d'Elach Denne Example

Chied Permis - not thes The Cu to Column Tool Sulseels - Problem by. - we Scan 16 Award Che & S. Penos

New Ecen Sheded of

Sul Kelpalner

(worth To Som Josephan)

Chept lund neth - ween Deur num Saw this New Chee The less obremed to passace fr. Develo to Normaly. Ther explains culaine deep deffer Courgery his was yoto Clied & nation was in mayings tramelucally deflerent. Lebras Calu 2 quelations. Es " of Ch. Scrul of Ches hand any comment attempt The grat. 3/2. lookunders - any commend nd meahen to contrast -Dent Tool Solveds To Sponlary of t. Mind. "Like Iturg dons" Born aut on others exched.

Do Ballard _ \$ for 8 lugar No Kenufarements necessary remos, mass, purments canad, permal influence. Thes again Deur had nun seen or be nowed new have enter h untulem of re-ulmements. Examples

the conditions

i) the children

underprivileged , disadvanted -

poor , unkempt , undernoursihed , uncared for , undsiciplend littel vanals timid , shy , bewellede5d

defacing the walls etc .Quote description

2- Their parents

slum people - uneducatued , illiterate , uncivilised gaining a precasious living .

- 3) Given to Montesseri not as a teacher to instruct as a doctor
- 4 The "teachers" in charge .

(weekly

"divine impatience" that the truths which she has established may prevail "1

These wrods were written as far back as I9I9 but the queation is one of perennial interest. In fact it crops up with each fresh barch of orthodox Christians as they come to be convinced, through their own experience, of the characteristics of "normalised children" who have shed their "ddviations" as a presult of their tong continued spontaneous work in the ordered and prepared environment of the Montessori school. It seems appropriate then to round off this chapter, and this particular aspect of 14, with some direct quotations from Montessori herself.

"There is evil in the nature of man . You may be surprosed to hear me speak thus , as I have so frequently been accused of false optimism . How many sermons have I not received from persons who say that I do not take into account the fallen nature of man ; and that my children - uncorrected - will grow up criminals and find themselves implication. in prison .

But this is not true. I see it - this original

sin - who would not see a thing so evident? In the

depths of the human soul is the possibility of contin
uous decadence - from alza in balza (from abyss to abyss)

In fact there are innate tendencis in man's soul which

lead to maladies of the spirit sometimes unknown even to

ourselves-just as the germs of disease may work silently

and unknown! This is the death of the spirit which

- including even His disciples parables - sprang up impromptu out of passing events and conversations of the moment as they came into being. So it is in the good Montessori School.

The Directress is ever on the watch for the psychological moment for presenting a new truth as occasions arise - which themselves are a part of "una vita che si svolge" (a life that unfolds itself).

encourages; one who vivifies the environment, one who awakens the sleeping soul of the child. She must be like the sun in whose light we are able to see for ourselves what was always there, but which did not signify anything to us until the light came. Like the sun too, she gives the warmth of enthusiasm to foster growth; but (again like the sun) she leaves the actual growing to be done by the children themselves. How truly is this also a description of Our Lord's method. He was indeed the great encourager. How often does He greet His disciples with the phrase "Be of good cheer". He too wax a Light (as He still is) in which even the most trivial circumstances of life suddenly take on a new menaing as they become illuminated by His teaching (cf. St Therese's "Little Way")

The Montessori Materials

and The Sacramental System compared.

If you watch carefully any small child that you happen to meet in house, garden or even in its perambulator, you will nearly always find that his tiny fingers are holding on to, and manipulating some material object. It may be a stick, or a stone, or shell, or a flower, a bit of cloth, or anything else; but he will nearly always be "fiddling with something". Why is this?

Because this "Young Explorer" (see Chap. V - Ibid) at Nature's imperious bidding, is studying these objects in order to abstract from them their various qualities - size, colour, height, texture and so forth. The child's prolonged and repeated activities with these things is a sign of inner mental development. Exactly the same sort of thing happens in a Montessori School; but with this difference, that the objects with which the child is occupied have been specially thought out, and so constructed as to render such spontaneous investigation more easy and more profitable. In the Montessori School we are constantly confronted with the same mysterious, and prolonged repetition of an action; but now it is with the prepared materials. We have been at some pains to point out elsewhere (Vol. I, pp 129 and 224) that this special kind of repetition is always accompanied by an inner mental development, either of the senses, or of the refinement of bodily movements, or of the intellect, or, as often happens, of all three together.

Now we have an almost exact parallel to this in the manner of the development of the spiritual life, especially amongst religious. The monk or nun repeats the same prayers, the same liturgical actions, day after day, and year after year; and similarly the course the various divisions in the Liturgical Year repeat themselves — with all their special devotions — with each new year. Everytime a priest says Mass he repeats the actions prescribed by the canon with unvarying constancy. This unchanging repetition is imcomprehensible to those who do not understand its inner significance, just like the apparently unmenaing repetit ion

of children mystifies others. But the reason is the same in both cases. The religious repeats the same prayers, the same actions, the same rites day after day, and year after year, with a secret and inexpressible joy because, by doing so, his inner spiritual life is becoming constantly renewed, and made richer and more meaningful; for as with the children these repetitions are accompanied by an inner growth.

Many years ago the present writer attended an informal talk on Montessori phychology by a Mrs. Lily Hutchinson who was then the headmistress of a London County Council School. Mrs. Hutchinson had been sent out by the L.C.C. in 1908, as their representative, to make a special study of the new Montessori Method which, at that time, had caused such astonishment in Rome. She herself, was not a Catholic (nor for that matter was the present writer, at that time) but it was remarkable that, in trying to explain the childrens long-continuous repetition with, and concentration upon, the Montessori materials, Mrs. Hutchinson said "We must remember that something unseen and mysterious is going on in the minds of the children, as an accompaniment to this external and visible activity with the materials. It is like what the catechism says of the external actions of a sacrament: they are "the outward and visible signs of an inward grace". We must not, of course, make the mistake of letting this comparison "run away with the bit in its teeth", i.e. of trying to read into it a more complete similarity than really exists. The Sacraments of the Church and the activity which they stimulate and the growth they assist are a part of the supernatural life of the

Church, their aim being to assist the individual's growth in Grace.

But there is nothing supernatural about the assistance given by the Montessori materials to the child's intellectual development - a point to which we shall return at the end of this chapter.

Exaggerations and Distortions.

The aim of this chapter is to substantiate the belief that
Our Lord was aware of higher and nobler characteristics in children
than we adults usually credit them with possessing. It goes
without saying that if such qualities do exist in children, either
potentially or "in fact", Our Lord <u>did</u> surely know about them since
it was He, as God, who created them. He "who knew what was in man",
would as certainly know what was in children".

But at this point we must be on our guard again. If it is
true — as we believe it is — that, hitherto, we have read too
little significance into Our Lord's sayings with regard to children,
it would be an error equally great to read too much into them.
Unhappily it cannot be denied that some of Montessori's followers
have fallen into this error. Having become acquainted with that
striking "conversion" (to use Montessori's phrase) from disorder to
order, from disobedience to obedience, from dissipated energies
to creative work — in short with all that group of psychological
phenomena which can be sub under the title Normalisation
through Work, these people have been so impressed that they have
come to look upon the child as a perfect being; and have conseq uently elevated him into a sort of Messiah.

It is not difficult to see how such persons have come to

adopt this extreme and exaggerated point of view. "The difficulty of writing soberly about Montessori and her work" says Mr. Claremont (1): "is that it is only possible to a person who has not understood it. Directly the barest gleams of truth begin to penetrate all the world looks different: students and lecturers alike, pass into a state for which enthusiasm is hardly the word and religious fervour would be more appropriate". (The New Era, 1958) From the very beginning it was like this. The "revelations" of those little slum children in the first Montessori schools were so astonishing, so breath-taking in their novelty and charm, that those educationists who at that time came to Rome, from all parts of the world, to study this "New Method" felt themselves obliged to speak in superlative terms, in order to convey the depth and astonishment of their impressions. Take Mrs. Sheila Radice, for example. She was then sub-editor of the "Times Educational Supplement", and was sent out by that paper to Italy expressly to study and report on this new experiment by Dr. Montessori which was causing such a stir. She published the result of her observations on this subject, in a book entitled "The New Children". Her opposite number, from the United States described his impressions under the equally arresting title - "The Discovery of the Human Soul".

If these people, who were comparative strangers to the movement, could write in this strain it is not surprising that some of Montessori's more immediate followers, who had had longer and more intimate contact with Montessori's work and ideals, should have gone even further in their extravagant and ardent expressions,

and enthusiastic hopes. To such as these, "The New Child", discovered by Montessori was looked upon as the Regenerator and Saviour of the Human Race to them. The Child (always with a capital "C") became the final hope of humanity - the New Messiah. In other words what they were proclaiming (and some of them still exist) was really a New Religion.

And the Creed of this new religion is simple and short: I believe in the Child, and in Montessori as his Interpreter.

Mankind will be redeemed by and through this New Messiah, and the wished-for millenium will artive when - and only when - the Montessori Method covers the land as the waters cover the sea.

All we have to do, then, is to prepare the right environment for the child, at each stage of his development, give him freedom to build up his personality in it; and hey prestot - a new race of supermen will inevitably appear on the planet. Thus all problems, individual, national and international, will be solved at a stroke, and the reign of universal peace and justice established on earth for evergand ever, Amen!

It must be confessed that Montessori herself, in her endeavour to proclaim the stupendous importance of her discoveries, expressed herself at times in terms so enthusiastic, and figures of speech so extreme, that they were taken by themselves out of context susceptible of this kind of interpretation. For this reason it seems worth while to pause for a few moments to place this whole matter in its true perspective.

The facttis that Montessori saw so vividly the immense harm

that is done, everywhere and continually all over the world, to children by wrong treatment by adults, and saw with equal clearness the immense amount of good that is immediately released in children's characters when this erroneous relationship is put right, that she felt obliged to express herself in the most striking and arresting terms. We have already mentioned how the first observers felt the same urge to describe these things in superlative language: and one could give many more examples. For instance: in 1912, the London County Council sent the above mentioned Mrs. Lily Hutchinson to study the method in Rome. When her report was read at the committee appointed by the L. C. C. to consider it, one of its leading members, Sir John Garnett, rose and said, "Gentlemen, this is not a report: it is a rhapsody!"

Montessori was well aware of the danger that her expressions might be taken too literally. She said once: "Those who were associated with us in our desire to help the child, became aware that they had much to learn from him; and ended in a veneration verging on idolatory. This causes the question to be raised, 'How far will this enthusiasm of yours carry you? Before long you will be starting a philosphy of the child, a religion of the child'. This is not so, however, f or our love of the child has always been tempered by a scientific attitude". In a lecture on Adolescence, given in Rome some twenty years before she died, she made some observations that bear on this question:

"The idea that education is of great value as the means of bringing to birth a better society is not a new one. But

The time has come - after this long and apparent ddigresssion - o return to our main theme - the comparison between the educational ideas of Montessori and Dewye. It is quite clear both from his writings and from his practices Dewey never galsised that the essence of Montessori(s work was - and is - the revelation of the heepr nature of the child which is usually hodden from us by a host of different deviations . If he had ever seen and lived with these "New Children "he would never have aid the things he did about the nature of the child . (the phrase "the New children "by the way was the title of a book by Mrs sSheila Radice who was sent out by the Londodn Times Educational Supplement to observe and report on the Children's Houses in Rome -it is a name which still comes spontaneously to the lips of those who see a good Montessori school for the fists time .)

It will suffice to make this clear if we take two quotations from these two eudcators and compare them .

The other quotation - from Montessori -is from the Sectret of Childhoos(pp133-4)

The contrast in the wo views with regard to the nature of the child - expressed or implied - is so obvious that comment is superfluous: they speak for the mselves.

YThis concentra ionof small children on objects rather than persons - such as their tree year old child upon the cylinders - is no isolated phenomenon in the Montessori child: it is in fact one of the salient features in the Montessori Method. We should note in passing that this profound concentrat on which is manifested

form of bodily acticity - either with the whole body as when a child washes a table or with the hands as in the sase meth ione d above with the cylinders. A point to wich we shall return later.

of the Montessori ferment not in the light of a total change of personality in the child - the emergence of the characteristics of the true childhidden from us for the most part by characteristics - such as instability of attention possessiveness etc (see pa). He thinks of it purely in terms of a stho d of -ducation American teachers he savy are concerned to know the meaning of this agitation and are professionally corious to ascertain the worth of them They refer t examine a new program elelment bu elemnet reserving the provilege of selecting and rejecting as their judgement decieds.

institution in order to seize another. The refer the safer nostition of being reconstructors of the old." In all this it will be seen there is not the alightest indication that he is dealing with a real discovery of the very nature of the Child nor is there any such a rich ica ion that Kilpatricks in his contessori examined to which rewey wrote the intoroduction from which we have just quoted ... there is equally no indication that Kilpatrick had any idea that amy such matter was involved as the discovery of the true nature of the child.

Chapeter VI To return atto this long tespeacousion into Wear at this long excursion into to nature of to It onlesser movement It is time to so further our men delant belown to Educalin ideas of MT Dews -In Jes zut clan both from Dears would Thacker that he had never Scan The New Eddren " revealed by Marlison Doscon of to Deefer Nature in to Char. the had new The New Courser of long nas to lette guenty MS Radiced who, at to begins of to mont was sent out by to human Times Ed Supplient To see these new schools in Rome - & Casa de Bambini - Which had coaled suem a ster. I to love who Deen in fact had no there idea of tre Senten of M. neck nor no cewace of the helder native of to Clade, which had come to tent hungh to prain of Norm le Work. If he had seen there "Church Children these It to trails of a lugua nateur in Ch. he could never hum

here near seen hen by are Shill The McCheltan. fartegrale no groceam Proposos in anne lo day.

Sand to higo he did. to It med saffed to make the abundans clear by gang 2 qualations and for Whohis M. \$ 63 Seh Mild. Qual 2. Scc of Ch. p 133-24. The Comenhalus on an object, nut a person, was and an isulate Phenemin 5 that it is well to note in pany That In fact Swell consult on to select of m to P End became one of to southers feature of to Man. Methad, We should have next in frank That the Cerentralier which is manter Gall hound Ch is along accompanions an active - enter y to whale bad, is in Scrube a lable or just to haves as in to Care of his ch 23 lo crinding nature Dever for the too forthet matte taling win to rugar y Ed professors & administrations as unable to Concern ga class of Wolf to north treff. sch small cliner 35 6 47 in which

lut pure que que son 5 gers on I Because during an not willed in ungo Cermin defind and lent H story which halds to wheat. " The Decoty The malual shew as to been of shows in existing sound villaturorum Now the lake up lyful occupator of Jores a long -I believe (\$95) that the one true education Comes This to Stimulation y to chies porum ly the demotion of several I donlin in when he find benoulf . The chied is Shimed boer "as a mena ga mis McCus pros pour sus to larles pand Ja all Educalon but we do not Unay werat There porer meen with we can transform less ut the Soral equivalent-Upne elimite lo Social facts for & Clus no are left on min au alshalow. (Unowledge for druf). Cooking pg)

What who commits to is these Den neme understood to nature of 6 cues mund - or tor that malle to Trahit of to Unaulesse as a whole Seecone mut below that come could demetalina & Sull Coleman mill ne own own than that of Unareless they. When will Carreto how a form LCC. I would wrote a bouch Duled Holing Senol. In one Chatra he Stoan of The Mirael of Min. -- ele mish no alsu wheest then lound drug. My det on do nut too believe in Nis The Capan & dome on Knume because they have new seen to the escere 1. Cut the have river Seen to the market I because the how never gun boul 2 those 1) Freedom to oct Thurs for Iself - lo Choose its own nun & centra at il Ester as I When 2) Cen Fred Prehud woods Contains to means to Vincalize Much can be valent the

bladrader - hand ban gung logeller.